1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]

SAC, Newark (100-50166) 6/10/68

EX-103

Director, FBI ~~(100-449698)~~

PERSONAL ATTENTION

~~100-449698~~ 31-1

REC-12

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
INTERNAL SECURITY
DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT

Reurlet 5/27/68.

Bureau letter of 5/10/68 instructed all offices to submit a detailed analysis of potential counterintelligence action against New Left organizations and Key Activists within their respective territories, together with specific recommendations and necessary facts on any proposed action. This letter also instructed that offices which have investigative responsibility for Key Activists should specifically comment in the initial letter to the Bureau regarding these individuals.

Your letter of 5/27/68 fails to provide the above information, consists primarily of general observations and indicates a negative attitude. It is also noted that this letter contains proposed action against Key Activist Thomas Emmett Hayden, now residing in Chicago, but makes no mention of David Dellinger, a Key Activist of your office. Specific comment on Dellinger should have been included as instructed.

The above-requested information should be submitted by return mail and conform to the instructions contained in Bureau letter of 5/10/68. It is imperative that this Counterintelligence Program be assigned to an experienced, imaginative Agent, and it is incumbent upon you to see that it receives the proper emphasis.

MAILED 12
JUN 7 1968
COMM-FBI

RLR:rsz
(6)

NOTE:

Referenced letter from Newark failed to provide the specific information requested by the Bureau.

Tolson
Leach
Mohr
Wannall
Sullivan
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Soyars
Room
Holmes
Gandy

5 JUL 1 1968

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 5/27/68

FROM : SAC, NEWARK (100-50166)

SUBJECT: COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
INTERNAL SECURITY
DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT

Re Bureau letter to Albany, 5/10/68.

It is believed that in attempting to expose, disrupt, and otherwise neutralize the activities of the "new left" by counterintelligence methods, the Bureau is faced with a rather unique task. Because, first, the "new left" is difficult to actually define; and second, of the complete disregard by "new left" members for moral and social laws and social amenities.

It is believed that the nonconformism in dress and speech, neglect of personal cleanliness, use of obscenities (printed and uttered), publicized sexual promiscuity, experimenting with and the use of drugs, filthy clothes, shaggy hair, wearing of sandals, beads, and unusual jewelry tend to negate any attempt to hold these people up to ridicule. The American press has been doing this with no apparent effect or curtailment of "new left" activities. These individuals are apparently getting strength and more brazen in their attempts to destroy American society, as noted in the takeover recently at Columbia University, New York City, and other universities in the U.S.

It is believed therefore, that they must be destroyed or neutralized from the inside. Neutralize them in the same manner they are trying to destroy and neutralize the U.S.

It is Newark's opinion that this can possibly be done in two ways:

1. The U.S. Government must be convinced, through the proper departments, that it must stop subsidizing its

2-Bureau (RM)
1-New York (INFO)(RM)
2-Chicago (THOMAS HAYDEN)(INFO)(RM)
3-Newark
(1-100-48095)(THOMAS HAYDEN)

REC-32

MAY 29 1968

own destruction. Each field office should acquire the names and backgrounds of all students of the "new left", who have been arrested for the very type of activity we are now trying to curtail or halt. Any Government subsidization to these individuals should be stopped.

They must be taken out of the ranks of this predominantly college-age movement; separate them and diminish their power.

2. Certain key leaders must be chosen to become the object of a counterintelligence plot to identify them as government informants. It appears that this is the only thing that could cause these individuals concern; if some of their leaders turned out to be paid informers. Attacking their morals, disrespect for the law, or patriotic disdain will not impress their followers, as it would normally to other groups, so it must be by attacking them through their own principles and beliefs. Accuse them of selling out to "imperialistic monopoly capitalism".

THOMAS EMMETT HAYDEN
KEY ACTIVIST, NEWARK DIVISION

Newark believes that it might be possible to attach the stigma of informant or Government "fink" to HAYDEN because of the apparent unlimited finances at his disposal, enabling him to take numerous trips in and out of the U.S., without any job or other means of financial support. Also, the ease with which he travels to communist countries, his reception there, the privileges afforded him, and his eventual return with no actual remonstrations by this Government.

Newark suggests that after HAYDEN visits a certain city or country, that a news release, datelined Washington, D.C., be prepared noting that "according to informed Government sources", etc., certain events happened in that certain city or country which would reflect back on HAYDEN through similarity of circumstances or events. It is suggested further that these news releases be collected and when several promising items are collected, they be turned over to a representative of a cooperative news media with a suggestion that a feature writer be given the task of writing up a story pointing out the coincidences of HAYDEN's visits to certain cities and news stories emanating from Washington, D.C., pointing to HAYDEN as the source. The connection may be spotlighted by including certain sidelights or confidential bits of information which may only be known to HAYDEN and a Bureau source.

It is realized the above will take time, but in order for the plan to be effective, it must have a solid basis and a continual indictment.

One copy of this letter is being sent to Chicago since THOMAS HAYDEN changed his residence to there.

One copy of this letter is being sent to NYO for information because of available transportation facilities which give "new left" demonstrators in this area the opportunity to choose either New York or New Jersey locations for disruptive tactics.