

Wikileaks and Imperial Mobilization

Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

In order to comprehend the ostensibly bizarre Wikileaks phenomena that is continually in the news these days, it is essential to first comprehend the concept of the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'.

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. More details may be gleaned in the disclosures of [Operation Mockingbird](#).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline “**Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand**”, Sunday, [April 20, 2008](#).

Therefore, today, I use the term '**Mighty Wurlitzer**' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the ruling-elite. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubiquitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing – which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in

synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional station, and mission statement.

The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made at the so called "[Terrorism Study Group](#)", that *"Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths)."* Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book "[The Secret Team](#)" is instructive ([PDF book](#)):

'There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and "Golden Apples" thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret

inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, "secrecy" was simply a chameleon device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said "top secret," when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?'

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: **“and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one”**, is the Machiavellian notion of sewing faits accomplis in current affairs by straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception, and leaving the ferreting out of 'truth' to future generation of scholars and historians when separating myths from the calculus of hegemony can at best only be a bogus academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn. See [Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science](#).

Wikileaks and Imperial Mobilization

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new.

Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of watchdog upon the empire, and therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled quest for *“full spectrum dominance”* – just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which too, absurdly enough, is permitted to function unhindered in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters. Would it not be trivial for an armed to the teeth National Security State to take-out either apparatus rather trivially? And that may happen once the useful idiots have outlived their utility, *for he who sups with the devil must have a long spoon!*

The reason each is allowed to function is of course social engineering, the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations by way of deception. It spans the entire gamut of engineering consent, from mantra creation in the mainstream and diabolically controlling dissent in order to control all opposition, to actually fabricating the visible pretexts which can naturally ripen the conditions for the mantra of *“clash of civilizations”* to be called real before the Western public in order to sustain the otherwise untenable *“imperial mobilization”*. Zbigniew Brzezinski had most succinctly summed up this motivation in his book *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*: **“Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”**.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks from the real stuff? How can one see through the Mighty Wurlitzer?

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft. Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the “leaks” and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of “Limited Hangout” wrapped in a veneer of dissent, 'freedom of the press', and often accompanied by the facade of angst and opposition from the state.

Furthermore, look for some of the lauded dissent names rushing to support the Limited

Hangout – just as it was with Daniel Ellsberg for his infamous *Pentagon Papers* – to afford a veneer of legitimacy to the whistleblowing revelations of supposed state-secrets having caused some great harm to the state. The extravagance enacted in the mainstream media, alternately making heroes of the whistleblowers and demonizing them, is a giveaway to the circus show being enacted for plebeian consumption. For, it matters not which side one takes, both are lies, right out of the text book of the Technique of Infamy : **invent two lies and keep the public busy debating which of them is true!**

However, as empirically evidenced in the forensic analysis presented here, these so called whistleblowing of *leaky buckets* only succeed in accomplishing two important elements of statecraft:

- 1) vicariously reinvigorate in the short-term public memory, the already established-by-fiat facts and core-axioms of empire;

- 2) establish new convenient facts on the ground which are subsequently accepted as revealed gospel truths because of the already established thought-stream by the scholars of empire that when something is held in secret or is classified and subsequently declassified, or is prematurely leaked to the public, that it must contain some genuine “state secrets”, and never red herrings. Such thought-streams enable the directives of NSC 10/2 for plausible deniability (and those like it which we do not know about) to be trivially impressed upon the public mind (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory). These revelations of presumed “state-secrets” subsequently become the new unquestioned backdrops, the new “*doctrinal motivations*”, with copious help from the Mighty Wurlitzer’s refined machinery in the global media and in the world of scholarship and academe, for the successful mobilization of pre-planned policy prescriptions which impel the various incantations of hegemony forward in baby-steps. Both, domestically by incrementally clamping down hard on rising dissent in the name of “national security”, and internationally by continuing to wage unpopular wars of preemption upon the ‘*untermenschen*’ because the infernal enemy has now been confirmed to exist since even empire’s own henchmen in their secret documents also betray that belief!

Zbigniew Brzezinski in his book *the Grand Chessboard*, un-abashedly explained the need for such invigorations of the public mind in the following majestic words, betraying his immense

knowledge of Machiavellian statecraft's reliance on social engineering:

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. ***That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.***” (page 211 and onwards)

Predictably, with rising skepticism among the public, more “harmful leaks” will occur, but understandably none which are actually substantial, like blowing the lid on 9/11 as an *inside job*, directly naming the top beneficiaries who shorted the Airline stocks raking in billions, or revealing how BBC came to report the demolition of WTC-7 a full 20 minutes before it actually transpired, never mind lending confirmation to any of the forensic detective work by independent researchers from the debris of 9/11, etceteras. And the main leaker du jour, Mr. patsy Julian Assange, like Mr. patsy Lee Harvey Oswald before him, will be sacrificed, perhaps with a new 'lone gunmen' enactment, or perhaps juridically, to lend the hoopla even more public respectability. Also see Dismantling the Fiction of 'Former' and 'Ex' Intelligence – Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Philip Giraldi.

It's the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. One can already see the main dissent-chiefs of the West, like the venerable professor Noam Chomsky, anointed by the New York Times as “*arguably the most important intellectual alive*”, and the distinguished Daniel Ellsberg, excitedly supporting these Wikileaks exposés as if something ethereal was *revealed in the Sinai!*

It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on Operation Gladio: “**Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State**”. See Angleton (1917 - 1987). Manufacturing Dissent is an indispensable core construct of that very statecraft of deception. See: 'Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science'.

With the preceding backdrop for overarching context, let's examine what I believe has been accomplished by Wikileaks. Also see the January 2011 update pertinent to the UFO mantra appearing in the latest round of Wikileaks revelations, in ["Wikileaks and the UFO: Response to Gordon Duff"](#).

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures – which I call 'the Afghanistan Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called “Osama Bin Laden”, that the “war on terror” is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama Administration that *“If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”!* That, when such a *“planned”* attack transpires, it *“will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”*. See: ['Bin Laden': Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan.](#)

The successful handoff of *“imperial mobilization”* to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of State Hilary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the [BBC](#) as quoted by [Reuters](#): *“There are still additional steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very devastating impact on our relationship”*. And that is merely just another echo from the Obama Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as demonstrated

Osama bin Laden is alive and playing a key role in directing the war in Afghanistan, leaked US military files suggest.



Multiple intelligence reports on the whereabouts of the al-Qaeda leader are contained among the documents. They disclose publicly for the first time that bin Laden is thought to be personally overseeing the work of suicide bombers and the makers of Taliban roadside bombs which have had a devastating effect on British and US troops. A secret “threat report” drafted by the Nato-led International Security Assistance Force (Isaf) in 2006 locates bin Laden as well as the Taliban leader Mullah Omar to the Pakistani city of Quetta as well as several villages on the Afghan border. --- [Wikileaks Afghanistan: Osama bin Laden alive UK Telegraph 27 July 2010.](#)

by its own Ambassador's remark in 2008:

'[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan's envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. "Those (statements) have been made," Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. "We want to make sure that it doesn't come to that."' -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to Reuters in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right now. "A cooperative effort between all the allies, and that includes Afghanistan, Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack," Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters. He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the "several dozen" Al Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States. Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. "If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future," he said. "If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act."' -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored **"modified limited hangout"** for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it **"all got gold stars"** as the [Salon](#) put it on July 26, 2010, is downright inexplicable:

"So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what "everyone" already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?"

What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the [Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion](#): **"We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,"** reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of [Pentagon's Message Machine](#) after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq?

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer! To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and dissent among the rabble



'I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.'

But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale.

They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.' --- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009, [911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim](#)

rousers, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes left behind by “*history’s actors*”. A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer’s operators even brazenly brag about:

“We’re an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you’re studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we’ll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that’s how things will sort out. We’re history’s actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” (Ron Suskind, New York Times, [Oct. 17, 2004](#))

Those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a *fait accompli* can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to legalisms – are variously labeled as ‘kooks’, ‘conspiracy theorists’, ‘delusional’, ‘denier’, etc., their efforts infiltrated (as in *cointelpro*) and energies defocussed by introducing what’s cynically called “***beneficial cognitive diversity***”. See [Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory](#).

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future *fait accompli* in the works which can still be averted. It makes for a great case study in the subtle creation and sustenance of a long-running diabolical psy-ops of the Mighty Wurlitzer which preys upon man’s long running curiosity and anxiousness about ‘are we alone in the universe’, and which is

designed to become part of “imperial mobilization” generations in advance of its actual



'In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic Studies.

We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb. Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments. Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen. All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless. Then a parliamentarian finally said:

“Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden’s image can be completely manipulated. All the Osama’s we have seen over the years, may never have existed. Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.” --- [ZERO](#)

unveiling. The fact that references to UFOs even made it into one of Wikileaks whistleblowing disclosures indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near. See Part1 Wikileaks and the UFO: Response to Gordon Duff, Part2 The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II (<http://tinyurl.com/Aliens-UFOs>), and Part3 Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda By Zahir Ebrahim. The Aliens are only the next Ali Baba++, a more formidable and thus more globally unifying threat which Ronald Reagan promised Mikhail Gorbachev was the most effective basis for a global *Perestroika*. If the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do before they enact them, that vile psy-ops can surely be defeated before it becomes the basis for the globalists' coup de grâce, the final restructuring of the planet into one-world government.

Those pushing the Alien-UFO story-telling are just as guilty of enabling crimes against humanity as those pushing any of the other imperial mobilization mantras like the global war on terror, islamofascism, clash of civilizations, pandemics, global warming, etceteras. Whether they do so as dupes or as mercenaries, useful idiots or intelligence assets, mind-controlled or fervently believing religious fanatics, effectively they are all the same because they all end up serving into the same oligarchic Hegelian mind-fck of the public.

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the strategic dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly *innocent of knowledge* in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'War on Terror'? This perpetual 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!

Or, will the people choose to not be (willingly) deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which continually justify the core axioms of “imperial mobilization” by way of deception? See Peoples' Guilt and America's Profound Shame.

For further study please refer to the Further Study Section in Note on Mighty Wurlitzer.

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/11/wikileaks-and-imperial-mobilization.html>

Mirror URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/11/30/wikileaks-and-imperial-mobilization/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/04/wikileaks-and-imperial-mobilization-by-zahirebrahim-updated-april092011.pdf>

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Last updated 04/09/2011 16:00:20 4338