Greetings,

You strike me as a person that would not feign truth or I would not even bother with this. If your child was given certain advice their doctor or say counselor, who was completely familiar with their history, and that advise was false and led directly to the child's death wouldn't you be mad? Wouldn't you seek charges against that person? Such is the case with Cindy Sheehan and many who have lost kin in Afghanistan and Iraq.

First off let me say I support our troops in their love of country and valor put forward in very dangerous conditions. I love America and more than once have taken an oath to, "support and defend the Constitution and the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic," and on more than one occasion put myself in harms way because of that oath. This is not however about me.

My principles also forbid me to "bear false witness against thy neighbor," so the work I have done is over the last almost 4 years is based on fact or not included. I had explosives training, improvised explosives training courtesy of the military. I worked in an explosives manufacturing facility, and shot professional fireworks display for six years running and have studied the fundamentals of controlled demolition and am qualified to speak on these subjects. My second book has an entire chapter devoted to the study of the events of 9-11 and my last book is totally about that event.

Jean Charles Brisard and Guiliaume Dasquie, the authors of '*Bin Laden, La Verite Interdite*' I.E. *Bin Laden, the Forbidden Truth*, are long experienced in intelligence analysis. They allege that if the Taliban had approved the construction of the pipeline as well US control over Central Asian oil and gas reserves, this would have opened the door for economic assistance as wells political recognition of, the Taliban. However, the Taliban's refusal to accept US conditions lead to American involvement to secure the region. Co-author Jean Charles Brisard, stated:" At one moment <u>during the negotiations the US representatives told the Taliban,' either you accept our offer of a carpet of gold, or we bury you under a carpet of bombs.</u>"

Colin Powell laid plans for the war in Afghanistan MONTHS prior to 9-11. The order for war was on President Bush's desk <u>two days prior to 9-11</u>. Documented in an article Tuesday, 18 September, 2001, 11:27 GMT 12:27 UK by the BBC's George Arney. We were told somehow Usama Bin Laden worked with Saddam Insane, I mean Hussein to bring about 9-11, which was proved untrue. We were told it was about "weapons of mass destruction" in Iraq, which was proved untrue.

David Shippers is the former Chicago DA. As a credible attorney comes to Attorney Generals Ashcroft's office to report that he has information that terrorists have a plan in motion (Project Bojinka), and are going to hijack airliners full of fuel and crash them into Manhattan and Washington, DC. He does not stop there; he takes the same information to Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld's office all TWO MONTHS BEFORE 9-11! Not to mention a few congressmen and senators that have been friendly to him in the past. He even got a call from the Senate Intelligence Committee saying "We hear that you've got information for us." They didn't want to hear it, he was never contacted further.

Welcome to PYROTECH 101,



This is a picture of a controlled demolition of a building. The white jets of what appear to be "smoke" are actually explosive debris generated by explosive shaped charges cutting the main structure of the building. These blown in sequence removes these critical supports in such an order to cause the building to fall in on itself.



You will see just below the big cloud of debris a similar jets of explosive debris exiting the building several floors below what is about to collapse. If you have a video of that days events you can see numerous instances of these detonations.
In short the planes did NOT bring down the buildings explosives did.
PYROTECH 101 is now over.

4. Dry eyes, say a prayer for victims families and our country.

Larry Silverstein, the holder of the lease on the WTC complex stated: "I remember getting a call from the fire department commander, telling me they weren't sure they were going to be able to contain the fire. I said we've had such a terrible loss of life maybe the smartest thing to do is just pull it. They made the decision to pull, then we watched the building collapse." Proving they had a controlled demolition of Building 7. (Quoted from PBS documentary)

Tower 2 fell in 10 seconds, 9 tenths of a second slower than in a vacuum. Tower 1 fell in 9 seconds, 1 tenth of a second FASTER than in a vacuum. There is only one explanation, explosives were used in all three buildings. These explosives all had to be in place BEFORE 9-11, all three building that came down on 9-11 came down by a controlled demolition, and I have proven it as well as others.

A Letter of Concern By Pastor Michael Treis mftreis3@yahoo.com

Mark Loizeaux, president of Controlled Demolition, Inc. (CDI) of Phoenix, Maryland. Loizeaux was asked about the report of molten steel on the site. "Yes, there where hot spots of molten steel in the basements. They were found at the bottoms of the elevator shafts of the main towers, down seven levels," (in the basement) Loizeaux said. "We found molten steel three, four, and five weeks later, when the rubble was being removed," It takes 2800 degrees to melt this main frame steel, 1800° hotter than jet fuel in a pure oxygen environment, 1400° hotter than plastics one of the hottest if not the hottest thing burning in buildings today.



B. Shows explosive debris cloud traveling UPWARDS from explosive source.

I can not impress enough that B in the above shot can not be caused by the collapse but rather is a large explosive debris field being propelled in an upwards direction. Any upward direction of debris would be limited to minor dust is the form of cloud like structure being shifted by wind.

The distance of the metal sections of framework cut and propelled outward ahead of the debris field indicates explosive propulsion (A above). Otherwise it would have been much closer to the building. The perpendicular travel from the building is far to great to have been caused by just the collapse. The notable distance of travel outward before curving downward under the effect of gravity is in direct relation to the amount of explosive force used to cut the steel. This reverts to Newton's second law of motion: an object will remain in motion until an equal or opposite force cause it to do otherwise. There is actual a way to calculate how much force was used to move the object by how far it traveled before gravity took hold enough to start it in a downward path. But it gets a little too complicated for what I'm trying to achieve here. How many more lies do I have to point out before you begin to question the "official story?"

I will reiterate I love my country the government established is the best the world has ever seen. There are however powerful people in high places with political and financial agendas that have used their power to bring about the events of 9-11 and they are held unaccountable to this date. It is my hope to see to it that everyone involved is brought to justice for the murder of over 3000 people, and that is my agenda, nothing less.