

No. 22127

MARGIE SCHOEDINGER,

Plaintiff

vs.

GEORGE W. BUSH,

Defendant

) IN THE COUNTY CIVIL COURT

) AT LAW NUMBER 3

) FORT BEND COUNTY, T E X A S

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, MARGIE SCHOEDINGER, Plaintiff, in the above styled and numbered cause, submitting Plaintiff's Original Petition, and shows the court the following:

Plaintiff is a resident of Fort Bend County, Texas, residing at 10422 Village Lake Drive, Missouri City, Fort Bent County, Texas, 77459. Defendant is George W. Bush, Former Governor of Texas and current President of the United States and can be served with process at: Office of the President of the United States, Executive Office Building, The Executive Office of the President, Washington D. C. 20501.

## II.

On or about October 26, 2000, an attempt was made to abduct Plaintiff by three unknown assailants. Because of the actions of these assailants, Sugar Land police officers were dispatched to the scene. In the end, no report was taken, the assailants were treated respectfully and allowed to go free while Plaintiff was repeatedly and aggressively questioned. After filing a lawsuit, Plaintiff's family and past contacts were questioned and harassed. As a result, Plaintiff dismissed Plaintiff's lawsuit. Irrespective of Plaintiff dismissing the lawsuit, the harassment continued. At some point, Plaintiff contacted the Houston office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, filing a ~~raced based~~ harassment complaint, advising that the Sugar Land Police Department may or may not be harassing Plaintiff on behalf of her neighbors in Sugar Land or possibly on behalf of the First Colony Community Services Association. The agent in question advised Plaintiff that the situation appeared to be highly organized and most likely higher level, such as a racist organization.

## III.

Eventually the harassment increased to the point where Plaintiff took the step of writing letters directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Houston Office. Instead of assisting Plaintiff with her concerns, the FBI took on the same demeanor as the Sugar Land Police Department. Eventually, Plaintiff learned, via telephone conversations, that both the Sugar Land Police Department and the Houston Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigations were acting at the behest of the Defendant, George W. Bush. As a part of their defense, the Sugar Land Police Department conducted a background investigation into Plaintiff's past activities. In the end, this investigation yielded the following information: Plaintiff had seven dates, (which became seven

lovers), had told no lies, committed no crimes, gotten 2 traffic tickets and dated George W. Bush as a minor.

#### IV.

Instead of looking at this information and keeping this information concealed, both agencies began revealing this information within their own intranets. In an effort to defend himself, the Defendant and his representatives began contacting Plaintiff regarding the relationship. While Plaintiff assured the Defendant and his representatives that she neither personally revealed this information, nor would she confirm it in any setting, the Defendant, his family and his representatives continued to threaten Plaintiff.

#### V.

In the end, Plaintiff learned that while the Defendant and his representatives requested that she be harassed at all costs by both agencies, the Defendant also informed Plaintiff that his only option to assure his never having to answer for the previous contact would be to simply see Plaintiff pressured to the point of committing suicide. Plaintiff's opinion was that Defendant should simply leave Plaintiff to pursue a second attempt at a degree, even a PhD. Additionally, even if the previous contact were revealed in the future, it would be of no consequence. In response to Plaintiff's refusal to give in, Plaintiff's bank account has been expunged, Plaintiff's husband has been dismissed from his place of employment, and Plaintiff has been raped and beaten – which resulted in a miscarriage. Upon going to the hospital, Plaintiff was threatened by agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation on behalf of the Defendant. The purpose being to keep Plaintiff from remaining in the hospital and be administered thorough treatment. The reason being that the Defendant might have been the father of the child that was lost.

VI.

Now Plaintiff continues to be threatened. According to the office of the Defendant and the Defendant, Plaintiff's background has been ruined, Plaintiff will never be able to have a clean credit bureau, Plaintiff's husband will never be able to find a job, Plaintiff degrees will continue to be expunged as well as any other achievement on Plaintiff's parts, Plaintiff will be placed on an AIDs watch list for the rest of her life, watched by the CIA, harassed by the Secret Service, placed on the National Security Agency's Photo Array, (which would cause Plaintiff to be considered as a suspect in any crime where the suspect remotely fits Plaintiff's description), Plaintiff's home is under surveillance in a manner that is fully recordable in all aspects and this surveillance is able to be loaded onto an internet page within minutes; including but not limited to prison Web sites, military web sites and any internet locale that might cause Plaintiff to be killed, raped, beaten or put in harms way. Moreover, the Defendant took personal responsibility for these decisions, explaining to Plaintiff that committing suicide would be her best option as in his opinion; Plaintiff is essentially dead in any case. No matter what Plaintiff's background was before, no matter how pristine, Plaintiff would be destroyed completely. At this point, the question repeatedly became whether or not the Defendant could have fathered the child and whether or not the hospital had actually collected the pathology on the unborn child. Finally, we decided that Plaintiff should be killed rather than being harassed to the point of committing suicide. However, the defendant stated he could not convince anyone he could trust to keep the secret to do this for him. The decision then became that the defendant should kill the Plaintiff personally. Instead of following through and just killing Plaintiff, defendant decided to go forth and ruin Plaintiff's life instead. This is the cause of Plaintiff filing this lawsuit.

VII.

Throughout this conversation, Plaintiff learned that there was no time that the Defendant ever stopped watching Plaintiff, nor did he stop having sex with Plaintiff. The sole concern of the Defendant and his representatives was whether Plaintiff could actually recall the individual sex crimes committed against Plaintiff and Plaintiff's husband, utilizing drugs. Whether or not Plaintiff's husband was raped remains in question, as Plaintiff was drugged after she was raped and her husband was drugged before her rape. Plaintiff can only state that these men purported to be FBI agents raping her for the purpose of covering for how many times they had drugged her and allowed the Defendant to rape her in the same manner.

VIII.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges allegation in Paragraphs I through VII.

Plaintiff has sustained 1 million dollars in actual damages and seeks punitive damages in the amount of 49 million dollars for reasons of emotional distress, loss of freedom and ability to pursue Plaintiff's own dreams, alienation of affection from Plaintiff's spouse, loss of privacy, being disparaged on the internet, and loss of Plaintiff ability to be a Christian writer. Plaintiff has suffered all of the aforementioned and more as a result of Defendant's actions as described herein.

Plaintiff prays that in addition to the aforementioned recovery, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the costs in preparing this action for trial, pursuing any necessary appeal of this action and retaining an attorney to pursue said action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Defendant, be sited to appear and answer, and that on final trial, Plaintiff be granted the following:

1. Judgment against defendant for actual damage suffered by the Plaintiff.
2. Judgment against the defendant for punitive damage.
3. A further judgment against the defendant in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the court.
4. Costs of Suit
5. Pre-Judgment Interest.
6. Post-Judgment Interest.
7. Such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2002,

By:

*Matgie B. Schaedinger*

Pro Se for Plaintiff

10422 Village Lake Drive  
Missouri City, Texas 77459

**FILED**

2002 DEC -3 AM 10:01

*Shirley J. Silben*  
COUNTY CLERK  
FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS

**Margie Schoedinger**

POB 2435  
BELLAIRE TX 77402-2435  
281-546-3909

December 3, 2002

Diane Wilson  
County Clerk, Fort Bend County  
301 Jackson  
Richmond, TX 77479-3108

RE: Margie Schoedinger v. George W. Bush – Plaintiff's Original Petition, Plus 4 copies to be certified.

Per the rules of Civil Procedure, I have enclosed Plaintiff's Original Petition, plus ~~4~~<sup>2</sup> copies.

Please file the original petition immediately and return the certified copies to me at the above noted address. I will serve these copies via certified mail.

Thank you for your time in this matter.

Sincerely,

Margie Schoedinger

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED